

**THE TRIAL CHAMBER**

**Case No:** STL-11-01/T/TC

**Before:** Judge David Re, Presiding  
Judge Janet Nosworthy  
Judge Micheline Braidy  
Judge Walid Akoum, Alternate Judge  
Judge Nicola Lettieri, Alternate Judge

**Registrar:** Mr Daryl Mundis

**Date:** 24 February 2017

**Original language:** English

**Classification:** Public

---

**DECISION ADMITTING INTO EVIDENCE EXHIBITS P453, P511, P519, P572, P583, P740, P750, P952 AND P953 PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION**

---

(Extract from Official Public Transcript of Hearing on 24 February 2017, page 39, line 2 to page 39, line 19)

I just have a very brief decision in relation to those exhibits. It relates to the “Prosecution Application for the Admission into Evidence of Nine Exhibits Previously Marked for Identification,” filing F3010 of the 22nd of February, 2017.

The Prosecution has requested the Trial Chamber to admit into evidence the following eight exhibits which are marked for identification: Exhibits P453, P511, [...] [P]519 [...], P572, P583, P740, P750, and P952. And P952 and 953, Defence counsel have informed the Trial Chamber that they take no position on admitting these documents into evidence.

The Chamber is satisfied that the exhibits, on which evidence has already been given, are relevant and have probative value and will admit them into evidence.

The Prosecution has also requested the Trial Chamber to substitute redacted versions for Exhibits P740 MFI and 750 MFI. The Trial Chamber will, as requested by the Prosecution, substitute the redacted version for the current marked for identification exhibit and the substituted version will become the full exhibit, P740 and P750.

Interpretation serves to facilitate communication.  
Only the original speech is authentic.